THE ACADEMY OF ECONOMIC STUDIES, BUCHAREST DOFIN-DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF FINANCE AND BANKING

# Banks' self-insurance using bail-in measures: Contingent Convertible Bonds

MSc. Student: Măgdălin Ioana Andra Supervisor: Professor PhD. Moisă Altăr

# Introduction

- As a result of the financial crisis in 2008 and 2009, regulatory authorities have placed stricter capital requirements on banks (Basel III)
- One way to acquire the extra capital is through contingent convertible capital bonds. Contingent convertibles (CoCos) are hybrid subordinated bonds that have properties of both bonds and equity, that converts to equity when a bank gets in trouble:

- A built-in mechanism to increase capital when it is most needed and most difficult to raise

- With a credible mechanism in place in advance, a government bail-out becomes less likely

- This thesis investigates the effects of BRD GROUPE SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE issuing contingent capital.
- Initial I present a structural model that assumes a bank's asset evolves as a Geometric Brownian Motion with drift. In the classic Black and Scholes settings I obtain a closed form pricing expression of contingent convertible bonds and evaluate BRD – GROUPE SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE shares price, default probabilities and shareholders risk shifting incentives.

# Methodology

#### **Bank Capital Structure**

- Deposit face value F<sub>D</sub>
- Contingent Convertible debt (face value F<sub>C</sub> and market value C) or subordinated debt (face value F<sub>B</sub> and market value B)
- Equity E

Value of the bank's assets:  $V = E + F_D + C$  (or B).

- Default threshold:  $K^D = F^D(1-\gamma)$ , where  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$
- Conversion threshold:  $K^C = (1 + \beta) (F^C + F^D)$ , where  $\beta \ge 0$
- View a firm's assets (factories, patents, or loan portfolio for a bank) as the "underlying" and value debt and equity as options on these assets.
- This was the original problem of Black-Scholes (1973) and Merton (1974).
- ✤ Black and Cox (1976): The firm defaults when asset value hits a barrier.

# Valuation

Capital structure includes Contingent Convertible Bonds

Deposits can be expressed as:

$$D = E^{Q} \left[ e^{-rT} \left( F^{D} \mathbb{1}_{\{V_{T} > F^{D}\}} + V_{T} \mathbb{1}_{\{F^{D} > V_{T}\}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{d} > T\}} + e^{-r\tau_{d}} F^{D} (1 - \gamma) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{d} < T\}} \right]$$
$$D = F^{D} \left( DB^{dout} (K^{d}) + DB^{din} (K^{d}) \right) - PB^{dout} (K^{d}, F^{D})$$

$$\underbrace{Equity \ value:}_{E = E^{Q} \left[ e^{-rT} \left( \left( V_{T} - F^{D} - F^{C} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{c} > T\}} + (1 - \alpha) \left( V_{T} - F^{D} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{c} < T < \tau_{d}, V_{T} > F^{D}\}} \right) \right] \\ E = F^{C} CB^{dout} \left( K^{c}, F^{D} + F^{C} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \left( CB^{din} \left( K^{c}, F^{D} \right) - CB^{din} \left( K^{d}, F^{D} \right) \right)$$

Capital structure includes Subordinated Debt

★ Deposits value:
$$D = F^{D} (DB^{dout} (K^{d}) + DB^{din} (K^{d})) - PB^{dout} (K^{d}, F^{D})$$
★ Subordinated debt value:
$$B = e^{-rT} E^{Q} \left[ \left( F^{B} 1_{\{\tau_{d} > T, V_{T} \ge (F^{B} + F^{D})\}} + max (V_{T} - F^{D}, 0) \right) \right] 1_{\{\tau_{d} > T, (F^{B} + F^{D}) > V_{T} \ge F^{D}\}}$$

$$B = CB^{dout} (K^{d}, F^{D}) - CB^{dout} (K^{d}, F^{D} + F^{B})$$

✤ Equity value:

$$E = e^{-rT} E^{Q} \left[ max \left( V_{T} - F^{D} - F^{B}, 0 \right) \right] \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{d} > T\}}$$
$$E = CB^{dout} \left( K^{d}, F^{D} + F^{B} \right)$$

#### Determination of implied asset values and volatility

• Based on the boundary condition by Merton (1974), the asset volatility can be expressed by the historical variance of market capitalization E and total book value of liabilities  $(F^D + F^B)$ .

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial V} \cdot \sigma_V = \sigma_E \frac{E_0}{V_0} = \sigma_E \frac{E_0}{E_0 + F^D + F^B}$$

• Equity value:

$$E = CB^{dout}(K^d, F^D + F^B)$$

# Default probability

## **Contingent capital vs. subordinated debt**

The probability of default of a bank with a capital structure that includes CoCos :

$$PD(C) = Pr(\tau_d < T) + Pr(V_T < F^D | \tau_d > T)$$

The probability of default of a bank with a capital structure that includes subordinated debt :

$$PD(B) = Pr(\tau_d < T) + Pr(V_T < (F^D + F^B) | \tau_d > T)$$

Risk neutral default probability for CoCo

$$PD(C) = 1 - N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{V_T}{F^D}\right) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T - t)}}\right) + \left(\frac{K^D}{V_T}\right)^{\frac{2r}{\sigma^2} - 1} \cdot N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{K^D}{F^D V_T}\right) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T - t)}}\right)$$

Risk neutral default probability for Subordinated Debt

$$PD(B) = 1 - N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{V_T}{F^D + F^B}\right) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T - t)}}\right) + \left(\frac{K^D}{V_T}\right)^{\frac{2r}{\sigma^2} - 1} \cdot N\left(\frac{\ln\left(\frac{K^D}{(F^D + F^B)V_T}\right) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{(T - t)}}\right)$$

# Input data

- Financial data of BRD Groupe Société Générale : share price, number of shares, value of total deposits, value of subordinated debt .
- ✤ Analyzed period: 2004 Q1 2014 Q4
- Source of data: Reuters and Financial statements of BRD-GSG
- Market value of equity= share price x number of shares
- **Equity volatility**,  $\sigma_{E}$  = annual volatility of share price,  $\sigma\sqrt{t}$ , where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of share and t=252
- Initial value of assets:  $V = E + F_D + F_B$  and the initial value of asset risk  $\sigma_V = 20\%$
- ✤ Maturity (T): 1 year

• **Leverage ratio** 
$$(LR) : LR = \frac{F \cdot e^{-rT}}{V_0}$$

- ✤ Interest rate (r): A continuous constant rate of 6,26%
- ✤ Cotingent capital principal (F<sub>C</sub>): 2 % of the deposit face value
- **Conversion ratio** ( $\alpha$ ): 0.5
- **Conversion threshold** ( $\beta$ ): 1% above the total face value of debt
- **\* Regulatory seizing policy** ( $\gamma$ ): 3% below the face value of the deposits

# Results: The effect on the share price of convertible contingent bonds I



# Results: The effect on the share price of convertible contingent bonds II



## **Results: Probability of Default I**

Probability of default for BRD in the case of subordinated debt – PD (S) and Probability of default for BRD in the case of CoCos



## **Results: Probability of Default II**



# Results: Risk taking incentives - Varying the conversion ratio



Q1 Q3 Q1 Q3

## Results: Risk taking incentives - Conversion threshold

α=0.1 2009 Q1 2009 Q2 2009 Q3	β=0.5% 6,157,198,446.82 7,386,092,023.46 10,300,690,740.78	<ul> <li>β=1.5%</li> <li>6,128,904,544</li> <li>7,355,351,684</li> <li>10,268,689,655.</li> <li>10,533,967,437</li> </ul>	$\beta=2.5\%$ 60 6,097,752,68 20 7,322,771,49 86 10,235,713,598 51 10 501 191 89	89.64 99.83 8.73	Stock values in t and interme	ue for d he case o ediate con	lifferent f a high version r	beta , low, ratio.
2009 Q4	10,505,744,511.14	10,333,707,437.	51 10,501,191,690	α=0.5 2009 Q1	β=0.5% 5,270,359,000	β=1.5% 0.14 5,042,02	β= 7,467.11 4	=2.5% 1,799,417,596.20
				2009 Q2	6,536,721,896	6.52 6,326,17	2,170.52 6	,106,813,865.14
α=0.9	β=0.5%	β=1.5%	β=2.5%	2009 Q3	9,506,240,777	2.03 9,315,43	0,728.22 9	),120,105,499.58
2009 O1	4,383,519,553.47	3,955,150,389.62	3,501,082,502.76	2009 Q4	9,790,235,759	9,605,08	7,507.96 9	,416,268,570.68
2009 Q2	5,687,351,769.58	5,296,992,656.83	4,890,856,230.44					
2009 Q3	8,711,790,813.28	8,362,171,800.58	8,004,497,400.44					
2009 Q4	9,014,527,207.72	8,676,207,578.42	8,331,345,244.93					
RON 25000 M 20000 M 15000 M 10000 M 5000 M M							<ul> <li>β=0.5% α=</li> <li>β=0.5% α=</li> <li>β=0.5% α=</li> </ul>	=0.1 =0.5 =0.9
$\frac{1}{10^{10}} \frac{1}{10^{10}} $								

### Conclusions

- Contingent capital may be effective in stabilizing the banking sector.
- Properly designed CoCos can induce risk reduction.
- Contingent capital design (in particular the conversion ratio, the fraction of post-conversion common equity that contingent capital holders receive) has an important impact on risk-taking motivation.
- Because equity holders capture some of the benefit of reduced bankruptcy costs, they often have a positive incentive to issue CoCos.
- My calibration suggest that CoCos could have a significant impact on BRD – Groupe Société Générale in the lead up to the financial crisis.

### References

Albul, B., D. M. Jaffee, and A. Tchistyi, 2010, Contingent Convertible Bonds and Capital Structure Decisions, Working paper, Haas School of Business, UC Berkeley.

"Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems", 2011

Black, F., and J. Cox, 1976, "Valuing corporate securities: some effects of bond indenture provisions", Journal of Finance 31, 351-367.

Black, F., and M. Scholes, 1973, "The pricing of options and corporate liabilities", Journal of Political Economy 81, 637-654.

Chen, Nan, Paul Glasserman, and Behzad Nouri, 2012, CoCos, Bail-In, and Tail Risk, 'Working paper, Chinese University of Hong Kong and Columbia University.

Duffie, D., and D. Lando, 2001, Term structures of credit spreads with incomplete accounting information, 'Econometrica 69, 633-664.

Hilscher, Jens and Alon Raviv, 2011, "Bank stability and market discipline: The effect of contingent capital on risk taking and default probability", working paper, Brandeis University.

Merton, R. C., 1974, "On the pricing of corporate debt, The risk structure of interest rates", Journal of Finance 29, 449-70.

Pennacchi, George, 2010, "A structural model of contingent bank capital", Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland Working Paper 10-04.

Reisz, Alexander S. and Claudia Perlich, 2004, "A market-based framework for bankruptcy prediction", Journal of Financial Stability Volume 3, Issue 2, July 2007, Pages 85–131